

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL
COOPERATION GOAL
PART A**

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16RFCB040
Title	Interreg V-A - France-United Kingdom (Manche/Channel)
Version	2019.1
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS.....	8
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	8
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	10
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	10
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B.....	10
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.1.....	12
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.2.....	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.4F	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.4F.2.1	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.6C.....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.6C.3.1.....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.6D.....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.6D.3.2	19
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	20
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	20
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.4.1	22
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.4.2.....	23
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK	24
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	26
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	26
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND)	27
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	28
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA	29
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	29
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....	30
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....	33
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	33
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE.	36
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	37
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	38
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	39
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS	39
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	39
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	39
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	39
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS	40
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP)	41
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	42
9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	43
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	43
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE	

INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	44
9.3.SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	45
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	46
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	47
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013	48
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS ...	48
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY	50
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	51
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	51
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF	52
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE)	53
<i>ATLSBS</i>	55
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION	57
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	58
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	59
DOCUMENTS.....	60
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS.....	61

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

The Programme has continued to support the development of projects and approval of applications. Out of the €209.7m funding pot, €141.1m (67.3%) has been committed, leaving €68.6m (32.7%) of funding available. (Excluding Technical Assistance.)

6 projects were approved in 2019 (including 1 micro project), for which the GOL was signed for 2 projects (1 of which was the micro project).

9 project applications were submitted in 2019.

Please note that some projects were submitted in 2018 and considered in 2019, whilst others were submitted in 2019 and will be considered in 2020.

31 projects (including 5 micro projects) had been approved over the lifetime of the Programme by the end of 2019. (For which Grant Offer Letters had been signed for 27 projects,) and a total of 149 project applications had been submitted. (Including 15 for micro projects.)

In 2019, 3 Selection Sub Committees were held – London (16/04/19); Pas de Calais, (02/07/19; Cambridge 26/09/19).

The open, continuous call for regular projects continued throughout 2019, including the opportunity for prospective applicants to submit an Intervention Logic Outline for informal feedback from the delegations every two months.

2 micro projects calls closed in 2019 (on 10/04/2019 and 24/10/2019).

ERDF funds committed (excluding TA commitments, including lump sum)

2016: €18,074,728

2017: €36,481,001

2018: €22,084,620

2019: €64,500,510

Total: €141,140,859

Projects for which a GOL has been signed account for €92.4m ERDF.

The Technical Assistance so far accounts for €6,344,603

The total commitment including the TA to the end of 2019 was €147,485,462 ERDF.

Targeted Projects

In 2017, the JS started working towards a ‘**targeted projects**’ initiative, developing a number of large projects in a top-down approach on issues deemed important to the Programme area, and offering greater support to applicants. In 2019 4 targeted projects were approved, 1 project on the theme of tidal energy, 1 on tourism, and 2 on plastics pollution in the ocean.

Programme Management

Staffing

During 2019, there was a continued high turnover of staff in the Joint Secretariat. 4 members of the finance and appraisal team and 1 member of the facilitation team left the programme. 4 new staff members joined the finance and appraisal team and 4 new interns joined the team on short term contracts. 4 interns from 2018 were promoted to graduate trainee posts supporting a range of tasks covering finance and communications. A new induction training programme was developed and rolled out for new members of staff, additionally all staff were invited to refresher training sessions where appropriate, with training modules covering all aspects of the programme lifecycle. Ongoing training for all staff revisited both practical and technical knowledge. This included claims training, state aid, financial management, as well as more generic skills such as presentation and project management skills.

Software

The Programme Management software, eMS introduced in 2017 has continued to be used for applications, payment claims and reporting and now includes new feature making it possible to delete attachments and personal data attachments to ensure compliance with GDPR.

Project modifications are sent to the JS by Lead Partners for approval and then the JS transfer approved project modifications into eMS. However, with the number of modification requests outpacing the number of modifications completed, the JS are working on a system whereby selected operations update eMS directly and await JS approval.

In 2020, the JS plan to carry out experiments in the eMS test environment, liaise with Interact and Gecko if needed, and to visit another European Programme which already has put a similar system in place.

Communication

2019 saw major communication successes for the JS:

- Project CobBauge winning the RegioStars award for sustainability in the category of connecting green, blue and grey infrastructure
- Creation of a series of project promotion videos

Summary of activities/tools/events:

- Themed lab event (and brochure) on Flooding
- Press releases
- Programme videos - a series of videos was created to celebrate the achievements of the Programme at mid-point
- Social media - The number of followers on Twitter has risen by 150 to 940 and LinkedIn followers has risen by 78 – from 480 to 558.
- Updated Programme leaflet
- Citizens Summary 2018 published on the website.
- 4 newsletters distributed to 401 subscribers – English version: 235; French version: 166
- Website improvements included technical updates to enable a choice of language when signing up for the newsletter and the creation of an FAQs section on Brexit
- Meetings and sessions to attract potential applicants and support approved projects, including:

Meetings with expenditure:

53 project development/project meetings

7 kick-off/partner training sessions

5 attendances at awareness-raising events/networking

2 on-site visits of projects in the form of statutory on-the-spot-checks

2 FLC training sessions

2 local information events

1 Micro-project workshop

Meetings without expenditure:

311 project development/project meetings to support potential beneficiaries

Synthesis of implementation difficulties

See section 5 for details

Over the past year, the programme has been significantly impacted by the Brexit situation particularly in the areas of staffing, attracting applications to the Programme and programme delivery.

Continued difficulties in the recruitment and retention of staff resulting in a significant loss of knowledge and experience, and the need to invest more management time in recruitment and training than anticipated, and the oversight of work to ensure the quality build further resilience into the processes.

Anxiety about whether funds would be secure for the project duration as a result of Brexit resulted in difficulties in engaging potential new UK applicants to the programme despite reassurance from the UK Government. French organisations questioned funding availability in the case of a no deal Brexit and were equally reluctant to engage. The Brexit date was extended to October 31 2019 giving programme more time to commit funds, but uncertainty about the consequences of a no deal continued to be an issue for all potential applicants generating large numbers of enquires to the JS from partners asking whether their funding was at risk. As a result there were also delays in project set-up and project spend.

The JS communicated with all project partners, via letters and our website stating that we would continue to work in ‘business as usual’ mode unless instructed otherwise by the European Commission.

Managing conflicting messages

The Brexit uncertainty generated different views. The MA emphasised a ‘business as usual’ message and committed to delivering the programme unless told otherwise by the EC.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Support innovation in order to address the economic and societal issues facing the FCE area.	<p>The Programme confronted difficulties in engaging potential new applicants to the Programme with stakeholders anxious about whether the funds would be secure for the project duration. A low numbers of applications were submitted due to the uncertainties.</p> <p>In 2019 3 projects was submitted under Priority 1</p> <p>1 project was approved under priority 1 in 2019, Project ASPIRE which was submitted under SO 1.2, Social Innovation</p>
2	Support the transition to a low carbon economy in the FCE area.	<p>The Programme confronted difficulties in engaging potential new applicants to the programme with stakeholders anxious about whether the funds would be secure for the project duration. However, this was mitigated through the development of a Targeted Project on the theme of Tidal energy</p> <p>1 project was submitted in 2019, Targeted Project TIGER was submitted under SO 2.1</p> <p>TIGER and a micro project called SunPeople were approved in 2019</p>
3	Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.	<p>The Programme confronted difficulties in engaging potential new applicants to the Programme with stakeholders anxious about whether the funds would be secure for the project duration. Significant JS resource was allocated to Targeted Projects throughout 2019, which resulted in large and important projects for the Programme being supported and submitted.</p> <p>We received 5 project submissions for Priority 3 in 2019 3 projects including were approved under priority 3 in 2019. These included Experience submitted under 3.1: Natural and Cultural Heritage, a targeted project developed following a targeted lag on Tourism in 2018, and 2 Targeted Projects PPP and Indigo submitted under 3.2: Coastal and Transitional Ecosystems, which were developed following a targeted lab in 2018 focusing on plastic prevention in the ocean</p>
4	Technical Assistance	<p>The uncertainty around Brexit has continued to have impact on recruitment and retention of staff. Sickness absence has also put a strain on the remaining team. Further losses of experienced JS staff members resulted in a significant loss of knowledge and experience and left the team with more junior and less experienced staff in post. Recruitment campaigns did not result in the numbers of candidates required and in fact a number of posts</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>remained vacant after 2 rounds of recruitment. The management team invested more time than previously anticipated in both recruitment and training for new members of the team.</p> <p>Processing the volume of claims required to meet N+3 targets was challenging due to both the number of inexperienced staff in the JS as well as the methodology being applied to carry out the JS verifications. As a new managing authority we had put a robust system in place for management verifications which in theory provided the level of assurance required but in practice was JS resource intensive and saw the JS reperforming the work of FLCs to a greater extent than was actually feasible.</p> <p>Additional oversight of all pieces of work had to be put in place by the JS management team to ensure the quality of work and towards the end of 2019 a new JS management sign off procedure was put in place to build further resilience into our workload management.</p> <p>The MA recruited a Managing Authority Manager full time to provide resource to support the programme manager to respond to the challenges of Brexit. Responding to the audit regime required significant resource and this new role took on the responsibility of agreeing audit timings and terms of reference and ensuring all audit findings were followed up and implemented.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Support innovation in order to address the economic and societal issues facing the FCE area.
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	CO27	Research, Innovation: Private investment matching public support in innovation or R&D projects	EUR	1,347,841.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	CO27	Research, Innovation: Private investment matching public support in innovation or R&D projects	EUR	1,347,841.00	6,070,113.47	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	21.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	21.00	30.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	Persons	7,000.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	Persons	7,000.00	26,300.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	30.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	30.00	517.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems	10.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems	10.00	1,017.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.3	Number of businesses and business intermediaries cooperating with research institutions	Number of businesses and intermediaries	20.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018.

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
						There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.3	Number of businesses and business intermediaries cooperating with research institutions	Number of businesses and intermediaries	20.00	130.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.4	Number of innovative skill development and professional training schemes for disadvantaged people	Number of schemes	40.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.4	Number of innovative skill development and professional training schemes for disadvantaged people	Number of schemes	40.00	4.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.5	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to increase the quality and effectiveness of service delivery to disadvantaged groups	Number of Institutions	20.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.5	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to increase the quality and effectiveness of service delivery to disadvantaged groups	Number of Institutions	20.00	218.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	1.6	Number of socially innovative services designed	Number of services	5.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.
S	1.6	Number of socially innovative services designed	Number of services	5.00	29.00	No projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2016. 6 projects have been approved under priority 1 as of the 31st December 2017. 11 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2018. 12 projects have been approved under this Priority as at 31st December 2019.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO27	Research, Innovation: Private investment matching public support in innovation or R&D projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO27	Research, Innovation: Private investment matching public support in innovation or R&D projects	3,909,584.93	974,251.21	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	25.00	13.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	6,300.00	7,040.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	516.00	505.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	1,017.00	1,010.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.3	Number of businesses and business intermediaries cooperating with research institutions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.3	Number of businesses and business intermediaries cooperating with research institutions	130.00	82.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.4	Number of innovative skill development and professional training schemes for disadvantaged people	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.4	Number of innovative skill development and professional training schemes for disadvantaged people	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.5	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to increase the quality and effectiveness of service delivery to disadvantaged groups	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.5	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to increase the quality and effectiveness of service delivery to disadvantaged groups	218.00	136.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.6	Number of socially innovative services designed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.6	Number of socially innovative services designed	27.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	1 - Support innovation in order to address the economic and societal issues facing the FCE area.
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.1 - To increase the delivery and uptake of innovative products, processes, systems and services in shared smart specialisation sectors

Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
1.3	Level of delivery and take-up of innovative products, processes, systems and services in shared smart specialisation sectors	%	52.95	2015	54.05	48.19		As reported within our mid term evaluation report conducted by T33 completed as at 23/12/2019. Brexit was cited as the most relevant factor as this is negatively influencing projects. Other negative external factors mentioned are climate change and economic factors. Among the few positive external factors mentioned, beneficiaries working on environment-related projects mention social factors such as heightened awareness of environmental issues.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
1.3	Level of delivery and take-up of innovative products, processes, systems and services in shared smart specialisation sectors	48.19							

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.3	Level of delivery and take-up of innovative products, processes, systems and services in shared smart specialisation sectors		

Priority axis	1 - Support innovation in order to address the economic and societal issues facing the FCE area.
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.2 - Increase the quality and the effectiveness of service delivery to the most socially and economically disadvantaged groups through social innovation

Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
1.2	Level of quality and effectiveness of the service provided to disadvantaged groups	%	61.25	2015	61.75	45.61		As reported within our mid term evaluation report conducted by T33 completed as at 23/12/2019. Brexit was cited as the most relevant factor as this is negatively influencing projects. Other negative external factors mentioned are climate change and economic factors. Among the few positive external factors mentioned, beneficiaries working on environment-related projects mention social factors such as heightened awareness of environmental issues.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
1.2	Level of quality and effectiveness of the service provided to disadvantaged groups	45.61							

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.2	Level of quality and effectiveness of the service provided to disadvantaged groups		

Priority axis	2 - Support the transition to a low carbon economy in the FCE area.
Investment priority	4f - Promoting research and innovation in, and adoption of, low-carbon technologies

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.4f

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	20.00	5.00	There are no fully completed operations under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 1 project completed in 2019
S	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	20.00	75.00	5 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 7 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems.	5.00	3.00	There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 1 project completed in 2019
S	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems.	5.00	50.00	2 projects have been approved under priority 2 as of the 31st December 2017. 5 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 7 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	2.3	Number of supported LCT multisectoral networks	Number of networks.	5.00	1.00	There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 1 project completed in 2019.
S	2.3	Number of supported LCT multisectoral networks	Number of networks.	5.00	11.00	2 projects have been approved under priority 2 as of the 31st December 2017. 5 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 7 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2019.
F	2.4	Number of participants in awareness raising or training events for take up and development of low carbon technologies	Number of participants	16,000.00	72,471.00	There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 1 project completed in 2019.
S	2.4	Number of participants in awareness raising or training events for take up and development of low carbon technologies	Number of participants	16,000.00	6,120.00	2 projects have been approved under priority 2 as of the 31st December 2017. 5 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2018. 7 projects have been approved under this priority as at 31st December 2019.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	16.00	7.00	6.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	16.00	7.00	6.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.3	Number of supported LCT multisectoral networks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.3	Number of supported LCT multisectoral networks	5.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.4	Number of participants in awareness raising or training events for take up and development of low carbon technologies	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.4	Number of participants in awareness raising or training events for take up and development of low carbon technologies	4,860.00	9,120.00	600.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Support the transition to a low carbon economy in the FCE area.
Investment priority	4f - Promoting research and innovation in, and adoption of, low-carbon technologies
Specific objective	2.1 - Increase the development and uptake of existing or new low-carbon technologies in the sectors that have the highest potential for a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.4f.2.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
2.1	Level of performance in the development and uptake of new or existing low-carbon technologies and services.	%	47.82	2015	51.82	46.87		As reported within our mid term evaluation report conducted by T33 completed as at 23/12/2019. Brexit was cited as the most relevant factor as this is negatively influencing projects. Other negative external factors mentioned are climate change and economic factors. Among the few positive external factors mentioned, beneficiaries working on environment-related projects mention social factors such as heightened awareness of environmental issues.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
2.1	Level of performance in the development and uptake of new or existing low-carbon technologies and services.	46.87							

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Level of performance in the development and uptake of new or existing low-carbon technologies and services.		

Priority axis	3 - Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.6c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	240,000.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	240,000.00	407,650.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. 2 projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2017. 3 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 4 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
F	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	Number of new or enhanced products/services.	55.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
S	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	Number of new or enhanced products/services.	55.00	2,481.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. 2 projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2017. 3 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 4 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2019.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	407,675.00	468,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	71.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	3.1 - Realise the potential of the common natural and cultural assets to deliver innovative and sustainable growth

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.6c.3.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
3.1	Level of performance in the delivery of innovative and sustainable economic activities which enhance common cultural and natural assets	%	65.43	2015	65.93	66.34		As reported within our mid term evaluation report conducted by T33 completed as at 23/12/2019. Brexit was cited as the most relevant factor as this is negatively influencing projects. Other negative external factors mentioned are climate change and economic factors. Among the few positive external factors mentioned, beneficiaries working on environment-related projects mention social factors such as heightened awareness of environmental issues.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
3.1	Level of performance in the delivery of innovative and sustainable economic activities which enhance common cultural and natural assets	66.34							

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Level of performance in the delivery of innovative and sustainable economic activities which enhance common cultural and natural assets		

Priority axis	3 - Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.6d

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions.	65.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
S	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions.	65.00	1,412.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. 3 projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2017. 6 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 8 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
F	3.3	Number of pilot operations aimed at the enhancement and protection of the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of pilot operations	10.00	0.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as of 31st December 2017. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2018. There are no fully completed operations under this objective as at 31st December 2019.
S	3.3	Number of pilot operations aimed at the enhancement and protection of the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of pilot operations	10.00	124.00	No projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2016. 3 projects have been approved under priority 3 as of the 31st December 2017. 6 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2018. 8 projects have been approved under this objective as at 31st December 2019

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	479.00	205.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.3	Number of pilot operations aimed at the enhancement and protection of the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.3	Number of pilot operations aimed at the enhancement and protection of the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	15.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	3.2 - Enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.6d.3.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
3.2	Percentage of transitional and coastal water bodies with good or high ecological status	%	44.08	2015	53.55	42.11		As reported within our mid term evaluation report conducted by T33 completed as at 23/12/2019. Brexit was cited as the most relevant factor as this is negatively influencing projects. Other negative external factors mentioned are climate change and economic factors. Among the few positive external factors mentioned, beneficiaries working on environment-related projects mention social factors such as heightened awareness of environmental issues.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
3.2	Percentage of transitional and coastal water bodies with good or high ecological status	42.11							

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2	Percentage of transitional and coastal water bodies with good or high ecological status		

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.Technical Assistance

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	5.1.1	Number of animation measures to stimulate the generation of projects	Number		1,412.00	2018 includes 137 meetings with costs and 342 meetings/events with no cost related. 2019 includes 214 meetings with costs and 311 meetings/events with no cost related.
S	5.1.1	Number of animation measures to stimulate the generation of projects	Number		0.00	
F	5.1.2	Number of crossborder applications submitted to the Programme	Number		149.00	2016 number is comprised of 25 Phase 1 applications, of which 2 were considered ineligible. Additionally 13 Phase 2 projects submitted their application during this year, all of which were considered eligible. 2017 number is comprised of 6 Phase 1 applications, 6 Micro Project of which 1 were considered ineligible . Additionally 12 Phase 2 projects submitted their application during this year, all of which were considered eligible. 2018 includes 5 micro projects and 4 regular projects. 2019 includes 9 projects submitted , including 8 regular projects and 1 micro project
S	5.1.2	Number of crossborder applications submitted to the Programme	Number		0.00	
F	5.1.3	Number of crossborder cooperation projects selected	Number		31.00	This number only counts projects with an unconditional approval for their Phase 2 application. An additional 3 projects have been agreed in principle, with conditions that must be met before the committee will authorise Grant Offer Letters to be issued. These are therefore not counted as formally approved for this project. 2017 number is comprised of 12 Phase 2 applications fully approved (including 3 projects from 2016 that responded to conditions and 9 projects approved in 2017 and which responded to conditions when relevant in 2017) 2018 includes 3 projects for which the Grant Offer Letter has not yet been signed but the signature is not (anymore) subject to final approval of conditions by the SSC. In 2019 a further 6 projects were approved by the SSC.
S	5.1.3	Number of crossborder cooperation projects selected	Number		0.00	
F	5.2.1	Number of progress reports monitored and leading to payment	Number		7.00	The first claim of the Technical assistance has been divided into 3 claims. The JS checklist has been signed off for 3 claims in 2017. Update 2018: This includes 5 reports for the Technical Assistance in total. Update 2019: This totals 7 reports with a further 2 in 2019.
S	5.2.1	Number of progress reports monitored and leading to payment	Number		0.00	
F	5.2.2	Number of employees FTEs (full time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by TA	Number		27.25	This figure includes 1 employee currently on maternity leave. There is currently 1 vacancy in the JS to replace a facilitator that has moved on to new employment. 2017: There are currently 2 vacancies in the JS to replace a facilitator and a FAO that have moved on to new employments. 2018: number at Dec-2018. This includes 23 FTE for the JS. Estimated figures for the CA (1.5), the AA (2.5) and the MA (0.25). 2019: number at Dec-2018. This includes 22 FTE for the JS. Estimated figures for the CA (1.5), the AA (2.5) and the MA (1.25).
S	5.2.2	Number of employees FTEs (full time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by TA	Number		27.25	This figure includes 1 employee currently on maternity leave. There is currently 1 vacancy in the JS to replace a facilitator that has moved on to new employment. 2017: There are currently 2 vacancies in the JS to replace a facilitator and a FAO that have moved on to new employments. 2018: number at Dec-2018. This includes 23 FTE for the JS. Estimated figures for the CA (1.5), the AA (2.5) and the MA (0.25). 2019: number at Dec-2018. This includes 22 FTE for the JS. Estimated figures for the CA (1.5), the AA (2.5) and the MA (1.25).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	5.1.1	Number of animation measures to stimulate the generation of projects	887.00	408.00	87.00	41.00	12.00
S	5.1.1	Number of animation measures to stimulate the generation of projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.1.2	Number of crossborder applications submitted to the Programme	140.00	124.00	100.00	62.00	0.00
S	5.1.2	Number of crossborder applications submitted to the Programme	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.1.3	Number of crossborder cooperation projects selected	25.00	13.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	5.1.3	Number of crossborder cooperation projects selected	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.2.1	Number of progress reports monitored and leading to payment	8.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
S	5.2.1	Number of progress reports monitored and leading to payment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.2.2	Number of employees FTEs (full time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by TA	27.25	19.00	22.20	0.00	0.00
S	5.2.2	Number of employees FTEs (full time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by TA	27.25	19.00	22.20	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	4.1 - To support the development of high quality projects, built by robust partnerships focusing on delivering the change and intended outcomes of the programme objectives.

Table 1: Result indicators - 4.4.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
N/A	N/A	N/A	1.00	2014	2.00			

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
N/A	N/A								

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
N/A	N/A		

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	4.2 - To provide a compliance, audit and payment regime with high standards of probity systems that will minimise risk to the European Union and Member State funds

Table 1: Result indicators - 4.4.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
N/A2	N/A2	N/A2	1.00	2014	2.00			

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
N/A2	N/A2								

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
N/A2	N/A2		

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2019	Observations
1	O	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	Persons	875	7,000.00	0.00	
1	F	1	Expenditure Certified	€	18,720,012	149,760,094.00	10,090,905.03	
1	I	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems being designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	4		517.00	
1	I	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems being produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	2		1,017.00	
1	O	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	0	30.00	0.00	
1	O	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems	0	10.00	0.00	
2	F	2	Expenditure Certified	€	7,488,004.63	59,904,037.00	4,841,637.05	
2	I	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems being designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	3		75.00	
2	I	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems being produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	1		50.00	
2	O	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	0	20.00	5.00	
2	O	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems.	0	5.00	3.00	
3	F	3	Expenditure Certified	€	11,232,007	89,856,057.00	10,929,652.16	
3	I	3.1	Number of innovative products/services under development	Number of products/services under development	7		2,481.00	
3	I	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported or being supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions	9		1,412.00	
3	O	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	Number of new or enhanced products/services.	0	55.00	0.00	
3	O	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions.	0	65.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
1	O	CO45	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in projects promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion across borders	Persons	0.00	0.00			
1	F	1	Expenditure Certified	€	502,312.50	120,000.00			
1	I	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems being designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	516.00	505.00			
1	I	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems being produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	1,017.00	1,010.00			
1	O	1.1	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems	0.00	0.00			
1	O	1.2	Number of innovative products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems	0.00	0.00			
2	F	2	Expenditure Certified	€	1,019,663.70	30,000.00			
2	I	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems being designed	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	16.00	13.00			
2	I	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems being produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems under dev	16.00	12.00			
2	O	2.1	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems designed	Number of products, services, processes or	0.00	0.00			

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
				systems					
2	O	2.2	Number of new or enhanced low carbon products, services, processes or systems produced	Number of products, services, processes or systems.	0.00	0.00			
3	F	3	Expenditure Certified	€	556,309.69	90,000.00			
3	I	3.1	Number of innovative products/services under development	Number of products/services under development	71.00	15.00			
3	I	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported or being supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions	479.00	205.00			
3	O	3.1	Number of innovative products/services that result from projects enhancing common cultural & natural assets	Number of new or enhanced products/services.	0.00	0.00			
3	O	3.2	Number of institutions (public or private) supported to enhance and protect the coastal and transitional water ecosystems	Number of institutions.	0.00	0.00			

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	149,760,094.00	70.00	62,918,190.67	42.01%	54,239,325.73	7,004,727.48	4.68%	12
2	ERDF	Total	59,904,037.00	70.00	62,223,255.71	103.87%	45,761,356.99	3,429,256.20	5.72%	7
3	ERDF	Total	89,856,057.00	70.00	85,309,792.58	94.94%	79,724,556.99	7,634,458.97	8.50%	12
4	ERDF	Total	15,744,490.00	85.00	15,744,490.00	100.00%	15,744,490.00	6,344,603.35	40.30%	1
Total	ERDF		315,264,678.00	70.75	226,195,728.96	71.75%	195,469,729.71	24,413,046.00	7.74%	32
Grand total			315,264,678.00	70.75	226,195,728.96	71.75%	195,469,729.71	24,413,046.00	7.74%	32

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

--

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		06	FR232	10,686,863.56	7,689,261.42	2,459,212.47	2
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		07	UKJ32	5,768,425.74	5,737,979.44	1,007,441.79	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		22	FR524	4,631,250.32	3,801,262.44	404,128.86	1
1	ERDF	060	01	03	07	01		20	UKH14	6,779,235.90	6,779,235.90	30,000.00	1
1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		06	FR232	3,902,329.73	3,268,616.42	386,778.83	1
1	ERDF	064	01	01	07	01		13	UKK41	512,400.00	412,400.00	12,400.00	1
1	ERDF	064	01	02	07	01		20	UKJ4	506,943.55	444,206.48	37,091.47	1
1	ERDF	102	01	01	07	01		21	UKJ4	9,997,757.55	9,511,325.41	1,156,343.38	1
1	ERDF	102	01	01	07	01		23	UKJ31	5,823,672.26	4,932,141.73	1,012,761.51	1
1	ERDF	102	01	02	07	01		20	UKJ4	10,258,764.44	8,389,914.41	0.00	1
1	ERDF	109	01	03	07	01		19	UKH12	4,050,547.62	3,272,982.08	498,569.17	1
2	ERDF	023	01	03	07	04		22	FR523	481,139.95	396,069.96	145,938.27	1
2	ERDF	065	01	01	07	04		07	FR232	1,815,627.71	1,431,629.22	737,564.30	1
2	ERDF	065	01	01	07	04		08	UKK41	4,127,365.13	3,657,146.71	667,466.32	1
2	ERDF	065	01	01	07	04		13	FR522	486,593.00	391,754.40	235,916.40	1
2	ERDF	065	01	01	07	04		22	FR524	511,666.20	451,436.80	12,400.00	1
2	ERDF	065	01	03	07	04		22	UKK30	46,800,801.56	31,469,239.44	0.00	1
2	ERDF	065	01	07	04	04		10	FR523	8,000,062.16	7,964,080.46	1,629,970.91	1
3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		02	FR251	4,618,850.01	4,038,506.14	440,799.09	1
3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		02	FR524	4,267,831.64	3,901,355.77	0.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		11	UKJ31	2,912,288.39	2,731,979.15	30,000.00	1
3	ERDF	085	01	02	07	06		22	UKJ24	4,019,357.20	3,340,576.95	987,536.07	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	07	06		02	UKJ3	7,820,262.40	6,802,025.73	2,125,956.07	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	07	06		24	UKK22	14,342,082.90	13,601,162.30	0.00	1
3	ERDF	087	01	01	07	06		02	UKK41	3,787,243.58	3,787,243.58	552,544.59	1
3	ERDF	087	01	02	07	06		11	UKJ4	2,433,117.47	1,823,933.57	30,000.00	1
3	ERDF	092	01	01	07	06		14	UKH3	5,641,170.25	5,394,865.44	1,110,425.80	1
3	ERDF	092	01	02	07	06		15	UKH13	23,316,186.37	22,343,875.15	30,000.00	1
3	ERDF	092	01	03	07	06		15	UKK43	4,325,049.31	4,325,049.31	266,832.44	1
3	ERDF	094	01	03	07	06		15	UKK43	7,826,353.06	7,633,983.90	2,060,364.91	1
4	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	UKH1	5,248,164.00	5,248,164.00	2,114,867.79	1
4	ERDF	122	01	07	07			24	UKH1	5,248,163.00	5,248,163.00	2,114,867.78	1
4	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	UKH1	5,248,163.00	5,248,163.00	2,114,867.78	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
17	3,324,625.89	1.49%	721,466.95	0.32%

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

As set out in its Evaluation Plan, The France (Channel) England (FCE) Programme's approach to Evaluation consists of 3 strands:

An Implementation Evaluation

- Part 2 2018/2019
- Carried out by Joint Secretariat (JS), but with methodological oversight from external experts

An Impact Evaluation

- Assessing the results of the Programme and whether they represent the Programme's full potential given its resources and internal and external factors
- Final report delivered 2022
- Carried out by external evaluators

An evaluation of the Potential of Future Cooperation in the FCE area (Completed in 2019)

- Aimed at informing discussions on cooperation in the Channel area, post-Brexit
- Final report completed in 2019, carried out by external experts.

A single procurement was carried out for all the external expertise required to conduct the evaluation activities. This was completed in June 2017.

Future of Cooperation Evaluation

Expected Deliverables in 2019:

- Draft Report (March 2019)
- Final report (June 2019)

Draft Report

The Managing Authority (MA) and external evaluators met to discuss MA feedback and areas for

improvement in March 2019. A final report was received in June 2019. The political context and ongoing Brexit negotiations has meant that this report has not fed into any future cooperation discussions.

Implementation Evaluation

The second implementation evaluation to be conducted by the JS was scheduled by the JS for 2018/2019.

In response both to feedback on the 2017/18 Annual Implementation Report, and to recommendations as part of the external evaluators' performance review (see Impact Evaluation below), the JS work focused on reviewing the output indicator targets in the Cooperation Programme.

The JS analysis focused on why the Programme was overachieving in terms of Output Indicators despite underperforming in terms of financial performance. The two possible reasons analysed were:

- Overreporting by beneficiaries
- Inaccurate assumptions or calculations in the Performance Framework targets

The proposed approach and first findings were presented to the Programme Committee in April 2019. The full analysis applied to all Priorities took place of the summer 2019. The PMC signed off on proposed changes to the programme indicators and an amendment to the CP has subsequently been submitted to the EC.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	----------

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

Brexit

The MA believes that the largest issue having a significant impact on Programme performance was Brexit.

Programme Delivery Strategy

Anxiety about whether funds would be secure for the project duration as a result of Brexit resulted in difficulties in engaging potential new UK applicants to the programme despite reassurance from the UK Government confirming that UK organisations that had secured funding through EU programmes (including Interreg) before the end of 2020 would have their funding guaranteed in full for the lifetime of their projects, even in the case of a no-deal Brexit. But whilst this provided a renewed confidence from potential UK beneficiaries, French organisations questioned funding availability in the case of a no deal Brexit and were reluctant to engage. Reassurances were sought from the EC separately by the MA, the French NA and the UK NA but the EC could not provide the necessary reassurances required for French project partners.

In March 2019, the UK Government announced that a no-deal Brexit may mean that UK organisations would be unable to continue in the majority of ETC programmes, as they would not have access to the programme” – suggest change to “In March 2019, the UK Government explained that a no-deal Brexit may mean that UK organisations would be unable to continue in the majority of ETC programmes without EU agreement that they could continue to participate in projects”. The existing phrasing sounds like we were communicating a decision we had made; we were actually clarifying that once we stopped being a member state, the EU had to decide whether UK project partners could continue to participate in an EU funding programme.

In September 2019, the UK Government corresponded with a; ETC Managing Authorities and UK project partners stating that in the event of a no-deal Brexit projects may not be able to continue in their current form. The MA continued to work with the UK government to understand which UK partners were being contacted and the timeframe and to ensure consistent messaging. This resulted in a large number of queries to the JS from partners, especially French partners of approved projects, asking whether their funding was at risk. The JS communicated with all project partners, via letters and our website stating that we would continue to work in ‘business as usual’ mode unless instructed otherwise by the European Commission.

However, despite the difficulties faced, 2019 was extremely successful in terms of committing project funds. There was a huge increase in project commitment, and the Programme gained momentum at a time when there was a high level of uncertainty.

The PMC’s decision to ask the JS in November 2017 to start developing “targeted projects” started to come to fruition in 2018 and in 2019 we saw 4 Targeted Projects supported and approved through the Programme. The targeted projects are large top-down projects concepts developed by the JS, with an objective to develop project ideas to respond to challenges identified in the Cooperation Programme. The themes of targeted projects were approved by the PMC. Four cross-border events (labs) were held in 2018 (hydrogen, tourism, tidal energy and plastics pollution). One project on the theme of tidal energy, one on tourism, and two projects on preventing

plastic pollution in the ocean were approved.

Improving the efficiency of processes

Delays in project set up

The JS are working to speed up the time that it takes from project approval to signature of the GoL. The JS have increased resource to support partners to respond to conditions on projects. Addressing project conditions required before signature of the GoL has slowed down the ability of partners to start delivering their projects. We have made significant gains in time here with projects, for example Experience and PPP, when we have focused resource on supporting responses to conditions and reviewing the Partnership Agreement as soon as the project is approved at the SSC. This is an approach that we will continue to take in order to reduce the timings down further.

Errors in claims

The JS saw a high number of errors in payment claims that had to be returned to First Level Controllers and then resubmitted by project partners, which created additional workload for the JS. In addition to the low staffing levels since July 2018, this resulted in a backload of claims leading to delays in authorising payments to partners. Measures taken saw the JS put an action plan in place in December 2018 to tackle this as a priority and maximise the number of staff working on processing the claims. The JS also recruited and trained additional staff to help process claims quicker. The most critical payments were made urgently, and the remainder were prioritised. The methodology for JS checks was also reviewed and amended to address this issue going forward.

Project Selection Committee deferred

As several projects indicated that they would not be ready for the January 2019 project submission deadline, the JS delayed the April 2019 SSC (from April 2 to April 16), giving projects until the end of January to submit. The SSC from January 31 was also deferred to March. Partners and the delegations were kept informed with communications from the JS.

Expenditure on hold

Some applicants are not starting to incur expenditure or have expenditure on hold until they have reassurance that they will be able to claim their funds back after Brexit which has added to the level of underspend that we might naturally expect to see within a project. There is still a great deal of concern in particular from French partners as to whether they will be reimbursed if they start project activities.

Administrative burden

The Programme's evaluation survey revealed that partners from smaller organisations feel a heavy

administrative burden. This impacts Lead Partners in particular. The JS plan to organize a series of claims surgeries/eMS training to improve the partners' understanding and provide greater support through the process.

Staffing

During 2019, there was a continued high turnover of staff. 4 members of the finance and appraisal team and 1 member of the facilitation team left the programme. 4 new staff members joined the finance and appraisal team plus 4 new interns on short term contracts. 4 interns from 2018 were promoted to graduate trainee posts supporting a range of tasks covering finance and communications. More investment in management time in recruitment and training was needed than anticipated . A new induction training programme was rolled out for new members of staff plus refresher training sessions for existing staff, with training modules covering all aspects of the programme lifecycle, covering both practical and technical knowledge. This included claims training, state aid, financial and project management and presentation . The MA decided to over recruit and a recruitment campaign for 5 Finance and Appraisal staff was launched at the end of 2019.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

--

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	1 - Support innovation in order to address the economic and societal issues facing the FCE area.
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	2 - Support the transition to a low carbon economy in the FCE area.
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	3 - Enhance the attractiveness of territories within the FCE area.
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

--

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Priority axis	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
2	43,556,279.10	103.87%
3	14,988,841.07	23.83%
Total	58,545,120.17	26.25%

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4),
SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of
evaluations**

--

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

--

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

--

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

--

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

As identified in section 4.4 of the Cooperation Programme, the programme area partially falls within the area of the Atlantic Maritime Strategy, and therefore seeks to align with this where possible. However, at the same time a large part of the programme area is outside the area of the Atlantic area, and it has a broader focus that simply maritime issues.

The programme has a pipeline of projects including 11 that are likely to contribute to the Atlantic Strategy Objectives;

- 1 project focusing on the use of renewable energy in remote locations (ICE). This contributes to *exploitation of the renewable energy potential of the Atlantic area's marine and coastal environment* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 project focusing on improving the knowledge and the management of populations of salmonids (SAMARCH). This contributes to *sustainable management of marine resources* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 project focusing on the use of satellite technology to improve the way water quality and harmful algal blooms are monitored (EUROHAB). This contributes to *improving maritime safety and security* under Priority 2 of the Strategy
- 1 project focusing on improving water quality in the Channel area (CPES) This contributes to *exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 project focusing on reducing the negative impacts of marine infrastructures on ecosystems.. (MARINEFF). This contributes to *exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 project focusing on implementing evidence-based Natural Flood and Drought management, which will reduce public money spent on managing water issues in the Channel area (H2O). This contributes to *improving maritime safety and security* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 project focusing on developing and testing innovative and cost-effective methods that will reduce algal mat coverage and contribute to reductions in nutrient levels in waters (RANTRANS). This contributes to *exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- The nature of the partnership working in these projects means that this contributes to Priority 1 by *"sharing knowledge between higher education organisations, companies and research centres"*
- Finally, the Programme has started working on targeted projects and has approved several targeted

projects that contribute to the Strategy:

- 1 of these projects is focusing on developing biodegradable fishing gears to improve water quality and reduce the total quantity of plastic present in the Channel area (INDIGO). This contributes to *exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 of these projects is focusing on developing and using a conceptual catchment model to gather data on the amount and likely sources of plastic pollution in the Channel area (PPP). This contributes to *improving maritime safety and security* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 of these projects is focusing on installing up to 8 MW of new tidal capacity at sites in and around the Channel area (TIGER). This contributes to *exploitation of the renewable energy potential of the Atlantic area's marine and coastal environment* under Priority 2 of the Strategy.
- 1 of these projects is focusing on extending the season and coastal and rural tourism (EXPERIENCE). This contributes to *preserving and promoting the Atlantic's cultural heritage* under Priority 4 of the Strategy.

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

Priority(s) and objective(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Priority	Objective
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.1 - Sharing knowledge between higher education organisations, companies and research centers
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.2 - Enhancement of competitiveness and innovation capacities in the maritime economy of the Atlantic area
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.3 - Fostering adaptation and diversification of economic activities by promoting the potential of the Atlantic area
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.1 - Improving maritime safety and security
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.2 - Exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.3 - Sustainable management of marine resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.4 - Exploitation of the renewable energy potential of the Atlantic area's marine and coastal environment
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Improve accessibility and connectivity	3.1 - Promoting cooperation between ports
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development	4.1 - Fostering better knowledge of social challenges in the Atlantic area
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development	4.2 - Preserving and promoting the Atlantic's cultural heritage

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the Atlantic SBS

A. Are the Sea Basin Strategic coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or members, and/or Objective coordinators or members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the ATLSBS?

Yes No

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the ATLSBS?

Yes No

D. Obtained results in relation to the ATLSBS (n.a. for 2016)

--

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN
— PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
----------------	---------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------------	-------	-----------	---------

LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.37	In Section 'Macro-regional and sea basin strategies' at least one relevance should be selected for strategy ATLSBS
Warning	2.38	In Section 'Macro-regional and sea basin strategies' questions A, B and C should be answered for strategy ATLSBS
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.3, year: 2018 (48.19 < 52.95). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.3, year: 2019 (48.19 < 52.95). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.2, indicator: 1.2, year: 2018 (45.61 < 61.25). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.2, indicator: 1.2, year: 2019 (45.61 < 61.25). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4f, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1, year: 2018 (46.87 < 47.82). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4f, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1, year: 2019 (46.87 < 47.82). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2, year: 2018 (42.11 < 44.08). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2, year: 2019 (42.11 < 44.08). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: 3.1, year: 2018 (66.34 > 65.93). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: 3.1, year: 2019 (66.34 > 65.93). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,000.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4f, indicator: 2.2, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,090.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.5, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,090.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.5, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,240.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.3, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,683.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,720.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,723.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 10,100.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.2, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 10,170.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 10,170.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.2, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 100.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO45, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 119.05% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO42, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 120.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4f, indicator: 2.2, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 129.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 140.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4f, indicator: 2.2, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 142.86% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO42, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.3, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 169.85% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 169.86% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 195.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: CO09, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 2,172.31% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2, year: 2019. Please check.

Severity	Code	Message
		check.
Warning	2.177	A Citizens' summary (IR.CIT) should be uploaded in working versions > 0.